Case 5:20-cv-03896-JLS Document 1 Filed 08/11/20 Page 1 of 12 CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PLACE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	ocket sneet. (SEE INSTRUC	TIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF TH	DEFENDANTS	<u> </u>			
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff BERKS (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			GLOBUS MEDICAL, INC. County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)				
			NOTE: IN LAND CO THE TRACT	ONDEMNATION CASES, USE T OF LAND INVOLVED.	HE LOCATION OF		
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Sidney L. Gold, Esquire Sidney L. Gold & Assoc. 1835 Market St., Suite 5	, P.C.		Attorneys (If Known)				
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	ICTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)		RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintif		
☐ 1 U.S. Government		Not a Party)		FF DEF ⟨ 1 □ 1 Incorporated or Pr of Business In T			
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant ☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		ip of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2			
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3	□ 6 □ 6		
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		ly) RTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Description FORFEITURE/PENALTY BANKRUPTCY OTHER STATU			
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel &	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability 370 Other Personal Property 370 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of	☐ 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 ☐ 690 Other	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application □ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	OTHER STATUTES □ 375 False Claims Act □ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC □ 3729(a)) □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and □ Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ □ Exchange □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information □ Act □ 896 Arbitration □ 899 Administrative Procedure □ Act/Review or Appeal of □ Agency Decision □ 950 Constitutionality of □ State Statutes		
	moved from 3	Confinement Remanded from	Reopened Anothe	erred from	ı - Litigation -		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	VDV DHBV	•	(specify,		Direct File		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.	DEMAND \$ 150,000.00 IN EXC		if demanded in complaint: Yes □ No		
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER			
DATE 08/11/2020 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		SIGNATURE OF ATTOR					
	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUI	DGE		

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- **I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.
 - United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.
 - Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.
 - Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; **NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.**)
- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code that is most applicable. Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.
 - Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.
 - Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.
 - Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.
 - Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.
 - Multidistrict Litigation Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407
 - Multidistrict Litigation Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket.

 PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7. Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statue.
- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P. Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction. Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

Case 5:20-cv-03896-i. Nusbed Document aric Filed (02/11/20 Page 3 of 12 For the Eastern district of Pennsylvania

DESIGNATION FORM

(to be used by counsel or pro se plaintiff to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to the appropriate calendar)

Address of Plaintiff: 200 S. Richmond Street, Floor 2, Fleetwood, Pennsylvania 19322									
Address of Defendant: 2560 General Armistead Avenue, Audubon, Pennsylvania 19403									
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: 2560 General Armistead Avenue, Audubon, Pennsylvania 19403									
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:									
Case Number: Jud	ge: Da	ate Terminated:							
Civil cases are deemed related when Yes is answered to any	y of the following questions:								
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year Yes No									
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit Yes No No No No No No No No									
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action of this court?									
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, soci case filed by the same individual?	Yes No V								
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is / is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above.									
DATE: 08/11/2020	/s/ Sidney L. Gold, Esq.	21374							
Attorney-at-Law / Pro Se Plaintiff Attorney I.D. # (if applicable)									
CIVIL: (Place a $$ in one category only)									
CIVIL: (Place a $$ in one category only) A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases	v:							
	ther Contracts 1. Insurance Contract a 2. Airplane Personal In 3. Assault, Defamation 4. Marine Personal Inju 5. Motor Vehicle Personal	and Other Contracts ujury ury onal Injury ry (Please specify): Asbestos							
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Ot 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases	ther Contracts 1. Insurance Contract a 2. Airplane Personal In 3. Assault, Defamation 4. Marine Personal Inju 5. Motor Vehicle Perso 6. Other Personal Inju 7. Products Liability 8. Products Liability 9. All other Diversity C (Please specify):	and Other Contracts ujury ury onal Injury ry (Please specify): Asbestos							
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Ot 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify): (The effect of this	ther Contracts 1. Insurance Contract a 2. Airplane Personal In 3. Assault, Defamation 4. Marine Personal Inju 5. Motor Vehicle Perso 6. Other Personal Inju 7. Products Liability 8. Products Liability 9. All other Diversity O (Please specify): ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION secretification is to remove the case from eligibility for a of record or pro se plaintiff, do hereby certify: t to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damage	and Other Contracts ajury ary onal Injury ry (Please specify): Asbestos Cases							
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Ot 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify): (The effect of this exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of inter Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	ther Contracts 1. Insurance Contract a 2. Airplane Personal In 3. Assault, Defamation 4. Marine Personal Inju 5. Motor Vehicle Perso 6. Other Personal Inju 7. Products Liability 8. Products Liability 9. All other Diversity O (Please specify): ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION secretification is to remove the case from eligibility for a of record or pro se plaintiff, do hereby certify: t to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damage	and Other Contracts ajury ary onal Injury ry (Please specify): Asbestos Cases							
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Ot 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify): (The effect of this I, SIDNEY L. GOLD, ESQUIRE, counsel Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), that exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of inter	ther Contracts 1. Insurance Contract a 2. Airplane Personal In 3. Assault, Defamation 4. Marine Personal Inju 5. Motor Vehicle Perso 6. Other Personal Injur 7. Products Liability 8. Products Liability 9. All other Diversity ((Please specify):	and Other Contracts aligning ary onal Injury by (Please specify): Asbestos Cases arbitration.) ges recoverable in this civil action case							

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

:

CIVIL ACTION

V.	:							
GLOBUS MEDICAL, IN	C. :	NO.						
In accordance with the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan of this court, counsel for colaintiff shall complete a Case Management Track Designation Form in all civil cases at the time of filing the complaint and serve a copy on all defendants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reversaide of this form.) In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding saidesignation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve of the plaintiff and all other parties, a Case Management Track Designation Form specifying the track of which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.								
SELECT ONE OF THE FO	LLOWING CASE MAN	AGEMENT TRACKS:						
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255.								
b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.								
c) Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.								
d) Asbestos – Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos.								
(e) Special Management – Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation of special management cases.)								
f) Standard Management – Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks.								
08/11/2020 Date	/s/ Sidney L. Gold, E	Esq. PLAINTIFF Attorney for						
(215) 569-1999	(215) 569-3870	sgold@discrimlaw.net						
Геlephone	FAX Number	E-Mail Address						
Civ. 660) 10/02								

DAVID STAUB

Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan Section 1:03 - Assignment to a Management Track

- (a) The clerk of court will assign cases to tracks (a) through (d) based on the initial pleading.
- (b) In all cases not appropriate for assignment by the clerk of court to tracks (a) through (d), the plaintiff shall submit to the clerk of court and serve with the complaint on all defendants a case management track designation form specifying that the plaintiff believes the case requires Standard Management or Special Management. In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a case management track designation form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.
- (c) The court may, on its own initiative or upon the request of any party, change the track assignment of any case at any time.
- (d) Nothing in this Plan is intended to abrogate or limit a judicial officer's authority in any case pending before that judicial officer, to direct pretrial and trial proceedings that are more stringent than those of the Plan and that are designed to accomplish cost and delay reduction.
- (e) Nothing in this Plan is intended to supersede Local Civil Rules 40.1 and 72.1, or the procedure for random assignment of Habeas Corpus and Social Security cases referred to magistrate judges of the court.

SPECIAL MANAGEMENT CASE ASSIGNMENTS (See §1.02 (e) Management Track Definitions of the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan)

Special Management cases will usually include that class of cases commonly referred to as "complex litigation" as that term has been used in the Manuals for Complex Litigation. The first manual was prepared in 1969 and the Manual for Complex Litigation Second, MCL 2d was prepared in 1985. This term is intended to include cases that present unusual problems and require extraordinary treatment. See §0.1 of the first manual. Cases may require special or intense management by the court due to one or more of the following factors: (1) large number of parties; (2) large number of claims or defenses; (3) complex factual issues; (4) large volume of evidence; (5) problems locating or preserving evidence; (6) extensive discovery; (7) exceptionally long time needed to prepare for disposition; (8) decision needed within an exceptionally short time; and (9) need to decide preliminary issues before final disposition. It may include two or more related cases. Complex litigation typically includes such cases as antitrust cases; cases involving a large number of parties or an unincorporated association of large membership; cases involving requests for injunctive relief affecting the operation of large business entities; patent cases; copyright and trademark cases; common disaster cases such as those arising from aircraft crashes or marine disasters; actions brought by individual stockholders; stockholder's derivative and stockholder's representative actions; class actions or potential class actions; and other civil (and criminal) cases involving unusual multiplicity or complexity of factual issues. See §0.22 of the first Manual for Complex Litigation and Manual for Complex Litigation Second, Chapter 33.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

DAVID STAUB, : CIVIL ACTION NO.:

:

Plaintiff,

:

v. :

:

GLOBUS MEDICAL, INC.,

.

Defendant. : JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

COMPLAINT AND JURY DEMAND

I. PRELIMINARY STATEMENT:

- 1. This is an action for an award of damages, attorneys' fees and other relief on behalf of the Plaintiff, David Staub ("Plaintiff Staub"), a former employee of the Defendant, Globus Medical, Inc. ("Defendant"), who has been harmed by the Defendant's discriminatory and retaliatory employment practices.
- 2. This action arises under the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. §12101, et seq. ("ADA") and the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act ("PHRA"), 43 P.S. §951 et seq.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE:

- 3. The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked, and venue is proper in this district, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§1331 and 1391, as Plaintiff Staub's claims are substantively based on the ADA.
- 4. The supplemental jurisdiction of this Court is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367 to consider Plaintiff Staub's claims arising under the PHRA.

5. All conditions precedent to the institution of this suit have been fulfilled and Plaintiff Staub has satisfied all jurisdictional prerequisites to the maintenance of this action. On July 21, 2020, a Notice of Right to Sue was issued by the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission and this action has been filed within ninety (90) days of receipt of said notice.

III. <u>PARTIES</u>:

- 6. Plaintiff, David Staub ("Plaintiff Staub"), is an adult individual and citizen of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, residing therein at 200 S. Richmond Street, Floor 2, Fleetwood, Pennsylvania 19322.
- 7. Defendant, Globus Medical, Inc. ("Defendant"), is a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, maintaining a place of business therein at 2560 General Armistead Avenue, Audubon, Pennsylvania 19403.
- 8. At all times relevant hereto, the Defendant was acting through its agents, servants, and employees, who were acting within the scope of their authority, course of their employment, and under the direct control of the Defendant.
- 9. At all times material herein, the Defendant is and has been a "person" and "employer" as defined under the ADA and PHRA, and is accordingly subject to the provisions of each said act.

IV. STATEMENT OF CLAIMS:

- 10. Plaintiff Staub was employed by the Defendant from on or about June 29, 2009 until on or about September 4, 2019, the date of his unlawful termination.
- 11. Throughout his employment with Defendant, Plaintiff Staub held the position of Production Technician and at all times performed his job duties competently and diligently.

2

- 12. By way of background, Plaintiff Staub suffers from Herniated Discs. Said medical condition constitutes a disability within the meanings of the Americans with Disabilities Act ("ADA") and the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act ("PHRA") in that it substantially impairs one or more of Plaintiff Staub's major life activities, including, but not limited to, lifting.
- 13. By way of further background, Plaintiff Staub's disability necessitates that he utilize a specific type of chair which supports the use of ice packs while seated. Plaintiff Staub utilized the same while at work for several years without issue.
- 14. On or about September 3, 2019, upon observing Plaintiff Staub icing his back in his chair, Phil LNU ("Phil"), Production Technician, lashed out at Plaintiff Staub and repeatedly screamed that "no one needs a special chair," thereby ridiculing his disability and need for accommodation. Embarrassed, Plaintiff Staub explained that he used the chair to alleviate symptoms associated with his disability while at work, but Phil continued his tirade.
- 15. Later that day, Plaintiff Staub registered a complaint of disability discrimination with his supervisor, Kevin Davis ("Davis"), Production Manager, in response to Phil's discriminatory commentary.
- 16. The following day, on or about September 4, 2019, rather than conduct an investigation or take remedial action, Defendant terminated Plaintiff Staub's employment without explanation.
- 17. Plaintiff Staub believes and avers that the Defendant's articulated reason for his termination is pretextual and that he was actually terminated based on his actual and/or perceived disability and/or record of impairment and/or in retaliation for opposing discrimination in the workplace.

3

<u>COUNT I</u>

(ADA – Disability Discrimination, Retaliation) Plaintiff Staub v. Defendant

- 18. Plaintiff Staub incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 17 of his Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 19. The actions of the Defendant, through its agents, servants and employees, in discriminating against Plaintiff Staub on the basis of his actual and/or perceived disability and/or record of impairment, and subjecting him to retaliation for opposing discrimination in the workplace, constituted violations of the ADA.
- 20. As a direct result of the aforesaid unlawful discriminatory and retaliatory employment practices engaged in by the Defendant in violation of the ADA, Plaintiff Staub sustained permanent and irreparable harm, resulting in his termination from employment, which caused him to sustain a loss of earnings, plus the value of certain benefits, plus loss of future earning power, plus back pay, and front pay and interest due thereon.
- 21. As a further direct result of the aforesaid unlawful discriminatory and retaliatory employment practices engaged in by the Defendant in violation of the ADA, Plaintiff Staub suffered severe emotional distress, embarrassment, humiliation, and loss of self-esteem.

COUNT II

(PHRA - Disability Discrimination, Retaliation) Plaintiff Staub v. Defendant

- 22. Plaintiff Staub incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 21 of his Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 23. The actions of the Defendant, through its agents, servants and employees, in discriminating against Plaintiff Staub on the basis of his actual and/or perceived disability and/or

record of impairment, and in subjecting him to retaliation for opposing discrimination in the workplace, constituted violations of the PHRA.

- 24. As a direct result of the aforesaid unlawful discriminatory and retaliatory employment practices engaged in by the Defendant in violation of the PHRA, Plaintiff Staub sustained permanent and irreparable harm, resulting in his termination from employment, which caused him to sustain a loss of earnings, plus the value of certain benefits, plus loss of future earning power, plus back pay, and front pay and interest due thereon.
- 25. As a further direct result of the aforesaid unlawful discriminatory and retaliatory employment practices engaged in by the Defendant in violation of the PHRA, Plaintiff Staub suffered severe emotional distress, embarrassment, humiliation, and loss of self-esteem.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

26. Plaintiff Staub incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 25 of his Complaint as though fully set forth herein.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Staub requests that this Court enter judgment in his favor and against the Defendant, and order that:

- a. Defendant compensate Plaintiff Staub with a rate of pay and other benefits and emoluments of employment to which he would have been entitled had he not been subjected to unlawful discrimination and retaliation;
 - b. Defendant compensate Plaintiff Staub with an award of front pay, if appropriate;
- c. Defendant pay to Plaintiff Staub punitive damages, compensatory damages for future pecuniary losses, pain, suffering, inconvenience, mental anguish, loss of enjoyment of life and other nonpecuniary losses as allowable;

5

- d. Defendant pay to Plaintiff Staub pre and post judgment interest, costs of suit and attorney and expert witness fees as allowed by law;
 - e. The Court award such other relief as is deemed just and proper.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff Staub demands trial by jury.

SIDNEY L. GOLD & ASSOC., P.C.

By: /s/ Sidney L. Gold, Esquire
SIDNEY L. GOLD, ESQUIRE
I.D. No.: 21374
1835 Market Street, Suite 515
Philadelphia, PA 19103

(215) 569-1999

Attorney for Plaintiff

Dated: August 11, 2020

VERIFICATION

I hereby verify that the statements contained in this **Complaint** are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief. I understand that false statements herein are made subject to the penalties of Title 18 Pa. C.S.A. §4904, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

DATE: 07/31/20

DAVID STAUB, PLAINTIFF